

Non-Gaussian Parameter in k -Dimensional Euclidean Space

Zihan Huang,^{1,*} Gaoming Wang,² and Zhao Yu³

¹*Department of Chemical Engineering, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China*

²*Department of Mathematical Sciences, Tsinghua University, Beijing 100084, P. R. China*

³*Department of Statistics, Columbia University, New York 10027, USA*

(Dated: November 23, 2015)

We generalize the non-Gaussian parameter, which is utilized to characterize the distinction of dynamics between realistic and Gaussian Brownian diffusions, in k -dimensional Euclidean space.

PACS numbers: 05.40.-a

I. INTRODUCTION

It is commonly presumed that Fickian diffusion satisfies the Gaussian statistics. Namely, the stochastic displacements of the particles induced by the thermal jiggling follow a Gaussian (normal) distribution [1]. However, in recent years, numerous experimental and simulation results indicate that non-Gaussian diffusion is more prevalent than expected, in which mean-square displacement (MSD) is still proportional to time (Fickian), such as the diffusion of colloids on phospholipid fluid tubules [2], and the heterogeneous dynamics of two-dimensional colloids in hexatic and solid phases [3]. The non-Gaussian features of these Fickian diffusions call for a general perspective and corresponding physical descriptions.

A straightforward but effective way to quantify the heterogeneity of the non-Gaussian diffusion, is to examine the ratio defined as $\langle r^{2n}(t) \rangle / \langle r^2(t) \rangle^n$, where $r(t)$ represents the displacement at time t and $\langle \cdot \rangle$ is the ensemble average. Through comparing the ratio of the realistic diffusion with that of the Gaussian one, the deviation from the Gaussian form that diffusion shows can be quantitatively identified. A non-Gaussian parameter $\alpha_{n,k}(t)$ is thereby defined for this purpose, where k is the space dimensionality. In 3-dimensional (3D) space, this parameter is written as

$$\alpha_{n,3}(t) = c_{n,3} \frac{\langle r^{2n}(t) \rangle}{\langle r^2(t) \rangle^n} - 1 \quad (1)$$

where $c_{n,3} = 3^n / (2n+1)!!$ is the non-Gaussian coefficient in 3D space [4]. A larger $|\alpha_n(t)|$ indicates a more heterogeneous dynamics of diffusion, and $\alpha_n(t) = 0$ when diffusion follows the Gaussian statistics. However, the general form of this parameter (i.e., in k -dimensional Euclidean space) has not yet been obtained, which is the critical and urgent challenge we address in the present letter.

II. ONE DIMENSION

Firstly, it is essential to summarize the diffusion of Brownian particles in one dimension as the basis of the further generalization. We assume that the space is

homogeneous, thereby the diffusivity D remains constant. Afterwards, the self-part of the van Hove function $[G_s(x, t)]$ [5] can be obtained by solving the one-dimensional diffusion equation as follow [6],

$$\frac{\partial G_s(x, t | x_0)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 G_s(x, t | x_0)}{\partial x^2}, \quad (2)$$

with the initial condition given by

$$G_s(x, t = 0 | x_0) = \delta(x - x_0), \quad (3)$$

where $x(t)$ and x_0 are the positions of the particle at time t and 0 respectively. $G_s(x, t)$ thereby follows the form

$$G_s(x, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} \exp\left(-\frac{(x - x_0)^2}{4Dt}\right). \quad (4)$$

Considering the Galilean invariance, it is feasible to fix the initial position of the particle at the origin of the Cartesian coordinates. Therefore, $G_s(x, t)$ can be equivalently rewritten as

$$G_s(r, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}} \exp\left(-\frac{r^2}{4Dt}\right), \quad (5)$$

where $r(t)$ is the displacement of the particle as mentioned in section I. Hence, $G_s(x, t)$ represents the probability distribution function of the displacement. Then we have

$$\langle r^2(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} r^2 G_s(r, t) dr = 2Dt, \quad (6)$$

$$\langle r^{2n}(t) \rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} r^{2n} G_s(r, t) dr = (2n-1)!! (2Dt)^n. \quad (7)$$

Therefore, $c_{n,1}$ can be set as $1/(2n-1)!!$ in one dimensional space.

III. GENERALIZATION IN k -DIMENSION

Under the assumption that the k -dimensional Euclidean space is homogeneous, the diffusions in all directions are independent and share the same diffusivity

D. Let $\mathbf{r} = (r_1, r_2, \dots, r_k)$ be the displacement vector. Then $\mathbf{r}$ follows a multivariate Gaussian distribution, and the joint van Hove function of $\mathbf{r}$ can be given by

$$G_s(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{2\pi})^k \sqrt{|\Sigma|}} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \mathbf{r}^T \Sigma^{-1} \mathbf{r}\right), \quad (8)$$

where Σ is the covariance matrix and expressed as

$$\Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} 2Dt & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 2Dt & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 2Dt & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \cdots & 2Dt \end{bmatrix}_{k \times k}. \quad (9)$$

Then $G_s(\mathbf{r}, t)$ can be explicitly written as

$$G_s(\mathbf{r}, t) = \frac{1}{(\sqrt{4\pi Dt})^k} \exp\left(-\frac{\sum_{i=1}^k r_i^2}{4Dt}\right) = \prod_{i=1}^k G_s(r_i, t). \quad (10)$$

As a consequence, the MSD $\langle r^2(t) \rangle$ can be obtained by

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r^2(t) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (r_1^2 + r_2^2 + \cdots + r_k^2) G_s(\mathbf{r}, t) dr_1 dr_2 \cdots dr_k \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} r_i^2 \prod_{j=1}^k G_s(r_j, t) dr_j \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \left(\int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} r_i^2 G_s(r_i, t) dr_i \cdot \prod_{\substack{j=1 \\ j \neq i}}^k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} G_s(r_j, t) dr_j \right) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^k \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} r_i^2 G_s(r_i, t) dr_i = 2kDt. \end{aligned} \quad (11)$$

However, the derivation of $\langle r^{2n}(t) \rangle$ is more complex. Using the *multinomial theorem*, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r^{2n}(t) \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} (r_1^2 + r_2^2 + \cdots + r_k^2)^n G_s(\mathbf{r}, t) dr_1 dr_2 \cdots dr_k \\ &= \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \sum \frac{n!}{l_1! l_2! \cdots l_k!} r_1^{2l_1} r_2^{2l_2} \cdots r_k^{2l_k} G_s(\mathbf{r}, t) dr_1 dr_2 \cdots dr_k \\ &= \sum \frac{n!}{l_1! l_2! \cdots l_k!} \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} \prod_{i=1}^k r_i^{2l_i} G_s(r_i, t) dr_i \\ &= \sum \frac{n!}{l_1! l_2! \cdots l_k!} \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ l_i \neq 0}}^k (2l_i - 1)!! (2Dt)^{l_i}, \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

where $l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_k = n$, and the sum is taken over all combinations of nonnegative integer indices l_1 through l_k such that the sum of all l_i is n .

Eq. (12) can thereby be simplified as follow (See section i in Appendix for the proof),

$$\begin{aligned} \langle r^{2n}(t) \rangle &= (2Dt)^n \sum n! \prod_{\substack{i=1 \\ l_i \neq 0}}^k \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!} \\ &= (2Dt)^n \frac{(2n + k - 2)!!}{(k - 2)!!}. \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

Thus, $c_{n,k}$ is defined as $c_{n,k} = (k - 2)!! k^n / (2n + k - 2)!!$, and the non-Gaussian parameter in k -dimensional Euclidean space is given by

$$\alpha_{n,k}(t) = \frac{(k - 2)!! k^n \langle r^{2n}(t) \rangle}{(2n + k - 2)!! \langle r^2(t) \rangle^n} - 1. \quad (14)$$

IV. APPENDIX

i. Proof for Eq. (13)

We use the mathematical induction to prove that Eq. (13) is exact. The equation that should be examined can be written as

$$\sum \prod_{i=1}^k \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!} = \frac{(2n + k - 2)!!}{n!(k - 2)!!} \quad (15)$$

for $k, n = 1, 2, \dots$, where the meaning of the sum is same with that in Eq. (12).

Basis: Eq. (7) has demonstrated that Eq. (15) holds for $k = 1$ and arbitrary n .

Inductive step: We assume that Eq.(15) holds for $k \leq m$ and arbitrary n . When $k = m + 1$, we have $l_1 + l_2 + \cdots + l_{m+1} = n$. The following relation can thereby be obtained by using the induction hypothesis when l_{m+1} is fixed,

$$\sum_{\substack{l_{m+1} \\ \text{is fixed}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!} = \frac{(2n + m - 2 - 2l_{m+1})!!}{(n - l_{m+1})!(m - 2)!!}, \quad (16)$$

where $l_{m+1} = 0, 1, \dots, n$. Meanwhile, we have

$$\prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!} = \frac{l_{m+1}!}{(2l_{m+1} - 1)!!} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!}. \quad (17)$$

This leads to

$$\sum_{\substack{l_{m+1} \\ \text{is fixed}}} \prod_{i=1}^m \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!} = \frac{l_{m+1}!}{(2l_{m+1} - 1)!!} \sum_{\substack{l_{m+1} \\ \text{is fixed}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!}. \quad (18)$$

Rearranging terms and using Eq. (16), we have

$$\sum_{\substack{l_{m+1} \\ \text{is fixed}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!} = \frac{(2l_{m+1} - 1)!!}{l_{m+1}!} \cdot \frac{(2n + m - 2 - 2l_{m+1})!!}{(n - l_{m+1})!(m - 2)!!}. \quad (19)$$

Hence,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=1}^{m+1} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!} &= \sum_{l_{m+1}=0}^n \sum_{\substack{l_{m+1} \\ \text{is fixed}}} \prod_{i=1}^{m+1} \frac{(2l_i - 1)!!}{l_i!} \\ &= \sum_{l_{m+1}=0}^n \frac{(2l_{m+1} - 1)!!}{l_{m+1}!} \cdot \frac{(2n + m - 2 - 2l_{m+1})!!}{(n - l_{m+1})!(m - 2)!!} \\ &= \frac{1}{n!(m - 1)!!} \times \frac{(m - 1)!!}{(m - 2)!!} \times \\ &\quad \sum_{l_{m+1}=0}^n \binom{n}{l_{m+1}} (2l_{m+1} - 1)!! (2n + m - 2 - 2l_{m+1})!!, \end{aligned} \quad (20)$$

where $\binom{n}{l_{m+1}} = n!/[l_{m+1}! \cdot (n - l_{m+1})!]$.

Now the goal is to prove

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n \binom{n}{k} (2i - 1)!! (2n + m - 2 - 2i)!! \\ = (2n + m - 1)!! \times \frac{(m - 2)!!}{(m - 1)!!}. \end{aligned} \quad (21)$$

Based on Eq. (21), it is easy to find that Eq. (15) also holds for $k = m + 1$. See section *ii* in Appendix for the proof of Eq. (21).

ii. Proof for Eq. (21)

First we have

$$(1 + x)^{-\frac{1}{2}} (1 + x)^{-\frac{m}{2}} = (1 + x)^{-\frac{1+m}{2}}. \quad (22)$$

Note that

$$(1 + x)^\alpha = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \binom{\alpha}{k} x^k, \quad (23)$$

where $|x| < 1$. Thereby Eq. (22) can be transformed into

$$\left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{i} x^i \right] \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{m}{2}}{j} x^j \right] = \left[\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1+m}{2}}{n} x^n \right]. \quad (24)$$

Since

$$\begin{aligned} \left[\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{i} x^i \right] \left[\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \binom{-\frac{m}{2}}{j} x^j \right] \\ = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left[\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{i} \binom{-\frac{m}{2}}{n-i} \right] x^n, \end{aligned} \quad (25)$$

we obtain

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{i} \binom{-\frac{m}{2}}{n-i} = \binom{-\frac{1+m}{2}}{n} \quad (26)$$

by comparing the right sides of Eqs. (24) and (25). Particularly,

$$\binom{-\frac{1}{2}}{i} \binom{-\frac{m}{2}}{n-i} = (-1)^n \frac{(2i - 1)!!}{2^i i!} \cdot \frac{(2n + m - 2 - 2i)!!}{2^{n-i} (n - i)! (m - 2)!!}, \quad (27)$$

$$\binom{-\frac{1+m}{2}}{n} = (-1)^n \frac{(2n + m - 1)!!}{2^n n! (m - 1)!!}. \quad (28)$$

Then we have

$$\sum_{i=0}^n \frac{(2i - 1)!! (2n + m - 2 - 2i)!!}{i! (n - i)! (m - 2)!!} = \frac{(2n + m - 1)!!}{n! (m - 1)!!}. \quad (29)$$

Thus,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{i=0}^n \frac{n!}{i! (n - i)!} (2i - 1)!! (2n + m - 2 - 2i)!! \\ = (2n + m - 1)!! \times \frac{(m - 2)!!}{(m - 1)!!}, \end{aligned} \quad (30)$$

which proves Eq. (21).

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

We thank Dr. Pengyu Chen and Dr. Guoxi Xu for helpful discussions.

* huangzih14@mails.tsinghua.edu.cn

- [1] B. Wang, J. Kuo, S. C. Bae, and S. Granick, *Nature Mater.* **11**, 481 (2012).
- [2] B. Wang, S. M. Anthony, S. C. Bae, and S. Granick, *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* **106**, 15160 (2009).
- [3] J. Kim, C. Kim, and B. J. Sung, *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **110**, 047801 (2013).
- [4] A. Rahman, *Phys. Rev.* **136**, A405 (1964).
- [5] L. van Hove, *Phys. Rev.* **95**, 249 (1954).
- [6] A. Fick, *Pogg. Ann. Phys. Chem.* **170**, 59 (1855).